		OFGINAL
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAVID G. SPIVAK (SBN 179684) david@spivaklaw.com CARL J. KAPLAN (SBN 323019) carl@spivaklaw.com THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 Telephone (818) 582-3086 Facsimile (818) 582-2561 WALTER HAINES (SBN 71075) whaines@uelglaw.com UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP 5500 Bolsa Ave., Suite 201 Huntington Beach, CA 92649 Telephone (562) 256-1047 Facsimile (562) 256-1006 Attorneys for Plaintiff, DAVID JAIMES, and all others similarly situated IN THE SUPERIOR CC	rt -
14	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	(UNLIMITED JURISDICTION)	
16 17	DAVID JAIMES, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, and as an "aggrieved	Case No.: CIV DS 1933423
17	employee" on behalf of other "aggrieved	[Hon. David Cohn, Dept. S26]
19	employees" under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
20	Plaintiff(s),	ACTION SETTLEMENT
21	VS.	Date: September 23, 2020 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept: S26
22	BAKER'S BURGERS, INC., a California	Action Filed: November 7, 2019
23 24	corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Trial Date: None Set
25	Defendant(s)	
26		
27 28 SPIVAK LAW Employee Rights Attorneys		
16530 Ventura Bivd., Subj 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel	u	i
(818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com	Jaimes v. Baker's Burgers, Inc., et al.	Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

Plaintiff DAVID JAIMES'S ("Jaimes" or "Plaintiff") unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement was scheduled for hearing before the Court on September 23, 2020, at 10:00 a.m., before the Honorable David Cohn, Judge presiding. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the motion, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

⁵
1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Class based upon
the terms set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (the Settlement) filed
herewith. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the
Settlement. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, adequate, and reasonable to
the Class. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively
valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final hearing and final approval by
this Court.

For purposes of this Order, the Class is defined as follows: All current and former non-exempt employees Defendants employed in California at any time during the Class Period. The Class is preliminarily certified for settlement purposes only.

14 3. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement was the product of serious. 15 informed, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arm's length by the Parties. In making this 16 preliminary finding, the Court considered the nature of the claims set forth in the pleadings, the 17 amounts and kinds of benefits which shall be paid pursuant to the Settlement, the allocation of Settlement proceeds to the Class, and the fact that the Settlement represents a compromise of the 18 Parties' respective positions. The Court further preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement 19 have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual 20 Class member. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement was entered into in 21 good faith. 22

4. The Court finds that the dates set forth in the Settlement Agreement for mailing and distribution of the Notice and Notice meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and directs the mailing of the Notice Packet by first class mail to the Class as set forth in the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:



1

2

3

4

12

13

23

24

25

26

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com a. Within ten (10) business days following the date of this Preliminary

Jaimes v. Baker's Burgers, Inc., et al.

1

Approval Order, Defendants shall provide CPT Group, Inc., the appointed Settlement Administrator, the Class List consisting of the names, most recent known mailing addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, dates of employment and the total number of Qualifying Pay Periods for all Class members during the Class Period;

⁴ b. Within thirty (30) calendar days following the date of this Preliminary
⁵ Approval Order, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Notice and Notice (collectively, the
⁶ Notice Packet) along with a pre-printed postage paid return envelope, to all Class members
⁷ pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid using the most
⁸ current mailing address information available. The Notice Packet shall be in English and Spanish.

c. Within sixty (60) calendar days following the mailing of the Notice Packet,
 all requests to be excluded from the non-PAGA portion of the Settlement must be returned to the
 Settlement Administrator postmarked by U.S. Mail, as set forth in the Settlement.

d. Within fifteen (15) calendar days following the mailing of the Notice Packet, the Settlement Administrator shall mail to each Class Member who has not requested exclusion from the non-PAGA potion of the Settlement a postcard in English and Spanish reminding them of the deadline to request exclusion from the Settlement.

e. The Court will consider any written or oral objections or comments from
 Class Members at the time of the Final Approval Hearing, as set forth in the Notice. Written
 objections to the proposed settlement should state the basis for the objection and be mailed together
 with copies of all papers and briefs in support thereof to the Settlement Administrator within sixty
 (60) calendar days following the mailing of the Notice.

5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice (in substantially the form
 attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement). The Court also approves the procedure for members of
 the Class to object to the Settlement set forth in the Notice.

6. The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, David Spivak of The Spivak Law Firm and Walter Haines of the United Employees Law Group as Class Counsel.

²⁴
 ²⁵
 ²⁶ Representative.

26 27

28

23

1

2

-3

8. The Court approves CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.

A Final Approval Hearing shall be held at

2020 in Department 26 of the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Bernardino,

SPIVAK LAW Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 58 2-3086 Tel

> (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Jaimes v. Baker's Burgers, Inc., et al.

9.

2

OH-

located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved by this Order, and to 2 consider the application of Class Counsel for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs 3 incurred and the Enhancement Award. All briefs and materials in support of the Motion for an 4 Order of Final Approval and Judgment and Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs shall be 5 filed with this Court at least sixteen (16) court days before the Final Approval Hearing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

. •

10. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order of Final Approval and Judgment, or if the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement, does not occur for any reason, the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this Order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement.

11.

Pending further Order of this Court, all proceedings in this matter except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement are hereby stayed.

The Court further ORDERS that to facilitate administration of this Settlement, all 12. 13 Class members, including Plaintiff, are hereby enjoined from filing or prosecuting any claims, 14 cases, suits or administrative proceedings (including filing or pursuing claims with the California 15 Division of Labor Standards Enforcement) regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and 16 until such Class members have filed valid and timely written requests for exclusion with the 17 Settlement Administrator.

13. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

9/23/21 Date:

Ú

Honorable David Cohn Judge of the San Bernardino Superior Court

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Jaimes v. Baker's Burgers, Inc., et al.

3

, , , ,		
1		
2	PROOF OF SERVICE	
3		
4	State of California, County of Los Angeles	
5	1. I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County	
6 7	of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203, Encino, California 91436.	
8	2. I am familiar with the practice of The Spivak Law Firm, for	
9	collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. It is the practice that correspondence is deposited	
10	with the United States Postal Service the same day it is submitted for mailing.	
11	On Monday, August 31, 2020, I served the foregoing document described	
12	as [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT on interested parties by electronic mail, addressed as follows:	
13		
14	Veronica M. Gray, Esq.Tyler Woods, Esq.Allison C. CallaghanFisher & Phillips LLP	
15	Nossaman LLP2050 Main Street, Suite 100018101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800Irvine, CA 92614	
16	Irvine, CA 92612 twoods@fisherphillips.com vgray@nossaman.com	
17	acallaghan@nossaman.com	
18	XXXX (BY EMAIL) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above from my electronic service address <u>shant@spivaklaw.com</u> . EXECUTED on Monday, August 31, 2020, at Pasadena, California.	
20		
21		
22	<u>XXXX</u> (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.	
23		
24		
25	that fattorson	
26	SHANT JALTOROSSIAN	
27 28		
SPIVAK LAW		
Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436	4 Jaimes v. Baker's Burgers, Inc., et al. Decl. Spivak ISO Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for	
(818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com	Preliminary Approval of Stipulation of Class Action Doc ID: 5cacc589510456956110556160966864936	